

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF VERMONT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

EDWARD FINLEY

:  
:  
:  
:  
:

File No. 1:98-cr-25-01

**ORDER**

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation was filed May 22, 2007. (Doc. 163). After de novo review and over objection, the Report and Recommendation is AFFIRMED, APPROVED and ADOPTED. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Petitioner's motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence (Papers 138 and 148) are DENIED. His motions for default judgment (Paper 157) and for summary judgment (Paper 162) are DENIED for lack of merit.

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), the Court DENIES petitioner a certificate of appealability ("COA") because the petitioner failed to make a substantial showing of a denial of a constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). In addition, because the petition has been dismissed on procedural grounds, the petitioner cannot be issued a COA due to his failure to demonstrate that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 120 S.Ct. 1595, 1604, 146 L.Ed.2d 542 (2000).

It is further certified that any appeal taken in forma pauperis from this Order would not be taken in good faith because such an appeal would be frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

SO ORDERED.

Dated at Brattleboro, in the District of Vermont, this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2007.

/s/ J. Garvan Murtha

J. Garvan Murtha

United States District Judge